SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 729 /2007

PETITIONER : Kanhaiya Lal Dansena
S/o. Shri Premanand Dansena
Aged about 54 years
Post-Upper Division Teacher
R/o. Village –Paumathali,
Tahsil & District Raigarh
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 86252 Asstt. 31/1/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Department of Education
Mantralaya, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 31/1/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. District Education Officer,
Raigarh, District Raigarh
(C.G.)

3. Block Education Officer
Block-Tamnar
District-Raigarh (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-20/73/98 30/01/07 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS

. XI-HC-78

(22)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.(S) No. 729/07

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7-2-2007 Mr. Ramakant Pandey, counsel for the petitioners. Mrs. Anju Ahuja and Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocates for the State/respondents. With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S.) No.729/2007 in open Court, passed separately. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Gopal

P.T.O.

(23)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.729 OF 2007

Petitioner : Kanhaiya Lal Dansena

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.730 OF 2007

Petitioner : Suryakant Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ramakant Pandey, counsel for the petitioners.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja and Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocates for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 7th Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of

(24)

Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed. Certificate at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal